

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-32 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 22, and 28 are independent. In light of the remarks made herein, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections.

In the outstanding Official Action, the Examiner rejected claims 28-32 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Seybold* (USP 6,128,007). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for allowing claims 1-27.

**Examiner Telephone Conference**

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the telephone conference conducted on September 16, 2005. It is noted for the record that Applicants requested an interview with the Examiner to be conducted on September 16, 2005. Prior to the interview, the Examiner contacted the Applicants representative prior to the Interview and indicated that after further consideration, she agreed *Seybold* fails to anticipate claims 28-32. Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for contacting Applicants representative.

Based upon the parties agreeing that *Seybold* fails to anticipate claims 28-32, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdrawal the outstanding rejection.

**Specification**

On November 12, 2004, Applicants filed a copy of the specification. However, upon discussing this issue with the Examiner and looking on PAIR, it appears that the copy of the specification was not scanned. Applicants submit herewith a courtesy copy of the specification that was previously submitted on November 12, 2004.

**Conclusion**

As all of the outstanding rejections are withdrawn, Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in proper form for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Catherine M. Voisinnet (Reg. No. 52,327) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Application No. 09/784,550  
Amendment dated September 19, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of May 24, 2005

Docket No.: 4830-0112PUS1

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: September 21, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorneys for Applicant